



Quasi-projective covers of right S -acts

Mohammad Roueentan and Majid Ershad

Abstract. In this paper S is a monoid with a left zero and A_S (or A) is a unitary right S -act. It is shown that a monoid S is right perfect (semiperfect) if and only if every (finitely generated) strongly flat right S -act is quasi-projective. Also it is shown that if every right S -act has a unique zero element, then the existence of a quasi-projective cover for each right act implies that every right act has a projective cover.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let S be a monoid. For right S -acts A and B , A is called B -projective or projective relative to B if for every right S -act C , every homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow C$ can be lifted with respect to every epimorphism $g : B \rightarrow C$, that is there exists a homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f = gh$. A_S is called projective if it is projective relative to every right S -act. Also A is called *quasi-projective* if A is A -projective and is called *weakly-projective* if A is projective relative to S_S ([1, 7]). There are quite a few papers describing projective acts and their generalizations. Some other generalizations of projectivity are principal weak projectivity, Rees weak projectivity and principal Rees weak projectivity, see [6]. Quasi-projective acts have been studied by Ahsan and Saifullah [1]. Also the concept of weakly-projective acts have been introduced by Knauer and Olthmanns [7]. In this paper we study the concept of quasi-projective cover. Recall that over a monoid S , an S -act A has a projective cover P if there is an epimorphism $f : P \rightarrow A$

Keywords: Projective, quasi-projective, perfect, semiperfect, cover.
Mathematics Subject Classification [2010]: 20M30, 20M50.

such that, P is projective and $f|_C : C \rightarrow A$ is not epimorphism for every subact C of P (see [5]). Similar to projective cover (as above) we can define quasi-projective cover, noting that P has to be quasi-projective in this case. Monoids which have a projective cover for each right act are called right perfect monoids. For more details concerning covers of acts, see [2, 3, 4, 8]. In [2], Fountain proved that a monoid S is right perfect if and only if every strongly flat right S -act is projective. From this point of view, we prove that for a monoid S to be right perfect it is enough to show that every strongly flat right S -act is quasi-projective (see Theorem 2.5). Also we give a characterization for monoids for which every cyclic strongly flat act is projective. To give the main result, we focus our attention on right S -acts which have a unique zero. It is shown that if each right S -act has a quasi-projective cover, then S is right perfect.

Modifying the proof of Lemma 1 of [1], we can deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. ([1]) *Let S be a monoid with a left zero and $\varphi : A_S \rightarrow B_S$ be an S -epimorphism. If $A_S \sqcup B_S$ is quasi-projective, then B is a retract of A .*

By the above lemma it is easy to see that over a monoid S with a left zero an S -act (a finitely generated S -act) A_S is projective if and only if there exists an epimorphism $g : P \rightarrow A$ such that P is a (finitely generated) projective right S -act and $P_S \sqcup A_S$ is quasi-projective. This fact implies the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose S is a monoid with a left zero and X is a property of acts which is preserved under coproduct and is weaker than projectivity (such as strongly flatness, flatness and etc.), then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every (finitely generated) right S -act with property X is quasi-projective.*
- (ii) *Every (finitely generated) right S -act with property X is projective.*

By Theorem 4.10.5 of [5] and Theorem 1.2, the following result holds.

Corollary 1.3. *Over a monoid S with a left zero the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every principally weakly flat right S -act is quasi-projective.*

- (ii) Every weakly flat right S -act is quasi-projective.
- (iii) Every flat right S -act is quasi-projective.
- (iv) Every flat right S -act is projective.
- (v) $S = \{1\}$

From Theorem 4.11.8 of [5] and Theorem 1.2, we can deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose S is a monoid with a left zero, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) All finitely generated right S -acts which satisfy Condition (P) are quasi-projective.
- (ii) Every right reversible submonoid of S contains a left zero.

Recall that a right ideal K of a monoid S satisfies Condition (LU) if for every $x \in K$, $x \in Kx$ ([5]).

Proposition 1.5. *Let S be a commutative monoid, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) All quasi-projective acts over S are flat.
- (ii) All quasi-projective acts over S are weakly flat.
- (iii) All quasi-projective acts over S are principally weakly flat.
- (iv) S is a regular monoid.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). It is easy to see that over commutative monoids every cyclic act is quasi-projective. Thus for every $s \in S$, $\frac{S}{sS}$ is quasi-projective and so is principally weakly flat by assumption. Hence sS satisfies Condition (LU) and so s is regular.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). It is well known that over a commutative regular monoid S , every act is flat. □

2 Semiperfect and perfect monoids with a left zero

Recall that a monoid S is right semiperfect if all cyclic strongly flat right S -acts are projective ([9]). In this section we give a new characterization of semiperfect and perfect monoids with a left zero. We present some results that we need in the sequel.

Proposition 2.1. *Let B_S be an A_S -projective S -act. If C_S is either an S -homomorphic image or an S -subact of A_S , then B_S is C_S -projective.*

Proof. Clearly, if C_S is a homomorphic image of A_S , then the result holds. Thus suppose C_S is a subact of A_S and consider an S -epimorphism $f : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ and an S -homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow \bar{C}$ where \bar{C} is a right S -act. Let $\rho = \ker f \cup \Delta_A$ where Δ_A is the diagonal relation on A . Clearly $\bar{C} \simeq C/\ker f$. Thus if $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\rho$ is the natural epimorphism, then π is an extension of f . Since B is A -projective, there exists $h : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi \circ h = g$. It is easy to see that $h(B) \subseteq C$ and so h is an S -homomorphism from B to C , which proves that B is C -projective. \square

One can easily see the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose S is a monoid and A_S is a right S -act, then:*

- (i) *If A is a cyclic right S -act, then A is projective if and only if A is weakly-projective.*
- (ii) *If S contains a left zero and $A = \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$ is weakly-projective, then A_i is weakly-projective for every $i \in I$.*

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose S is a monoid with a left zero. If every finitely generated (strongly flat) right S -act has a quasi-projective cover, then every finitely generated (strongly flat) right S -act has a projective cover.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1 of [4] (Proposition 3.13.14 of [3] and Proposition 1.6 of [4]), it is sufficient to show that every cyclic (strongly flat) right S -act has a projective cover. Let $M = mS$ be a cyclic (strongly flat) right S -act and $\varphi : F \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism such that F is a free S -act. Note that F can be regarded as a cyclic right S -act, because if $F = \coprod_{i \in I} a_i S$ and $m = \varphi(a_j t)$ for some $t \in S$ and $j \in I$, then $\varphi|_{a_j S} : a_j S \rightarrow mS$ is an

epimorphism. Thus if F is not cyclic we can consider the new epimorphism replace φ . Clearly, $F_S \sqcup M_S$ is finitely generated (strongly flat) and has a quasi-projective cover Q with an epimorphism $\psi : Q \rightarrow F_S \sqcup M_S$. Since F is cyclic, Q is finitely generated with two generators. If $F = aS$, then there exist $p, q \in Q$ such that $\psi(p) = m, \psi(q) = a$ and $Q = pS \sqcup qS$. Thus $\pi_F \circ \psi : Q \rightarrow F$ is an epimorphism. Since F is projective, there exists a homomorphism $h : F \rightarrow Q$ such that $\pi_F \circ \psi \circ h = 1_F$ and hence h is a coretraction. Since $F_S \simeq S_S$ and h is a monomorphism, S_S is a subact of Q . Thus by Proposition 2.1, Q is weakly-projective and by Lemma 2.2(i), it is projective. Clearly pS is the projective cover of M . \square

By the following theorem, we show that for a monoid S with a left zero to be semiperfect it is enough to show that every finitely generated strongly flat right S -act has a quasi-projective cover.

Theorem 2.4. *For a monoid S with a left zero the following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is right semiperfect.
- (ii) Every finitely generated strongly flat right S -act has a quasi-projective cover.
- (iii) Every finitely generated strongly flat right S -act has a weakly-projective cover.
- (iv) Every cyclic strongly flat right S -act has a weakly-projective cover.
- (v) Every left collapsible submonoid of S contains a left zero (Condition (K)).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii), (i) \Rightarrow (iii). By Proposition 3.13.14 of [5] are clear. (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). By Lemma 2.3, every finitely generated strongly flat right S -act A_S , has a projective cover and so it is projective by Proposition 1.7 of [4]. (iv) \Rightarrow (i). If $A = aS$ is a strongly flat right S -act, then every cover of A is cyclic. Now the result follows by Proposition 1.7 of [4] and Lemma 2.2(i). The equivalence of (i) and (v) follows by Theorem 4.11.2 of [5]. \square

Recall that a monoid S satisfies Condition(A) if every right S -act satisfies the ascending chain condition for its cyclic subacts ([5]). Fountain in [2], proved that a monoid S is right perfect if and only if every strongly

flat right S -act is projective. The next theorem improves this result by the notion of quasi-projectivity.

Theorem 2.5. *Let S be a monoid with a left zero. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is right perfect.
- (ii) Every strongly flat right S -act is quasi-projective.
- (iii) S satisfies Condition (A) and every finitely generated strongly flat right S -act has a quasi-projective cover.
- (iv) S satisfies Condition (A) and every cyclic strongly flat right S -act has a weakly-projective cover.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose every strongly flat right S -act is quasi-projective. Then by Theorem 1.2, every strongly flat right S -act is projective. Thus S is right perfect by Theorem 1.8 of [4]. The equivalences of (i) and (iii), and also (i) and (iv) follow by Theorem 4.11.6 of [5] and Theorem 2.4. \square

Now we state the main result.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose S is a monoid with a left zero and every right S -act has only one zero element. If every right S -act has a quasi-projective cover, then S is right perfect.*

Proof. We show that every right S -act has a projective cover. Suppose M_S is a right S -act and $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is an epimorphism such that F_S is a free S -act. Let $F' = F - \{\theta_F\}$ and $M' = M - \{\theta_M\}$ and $B = F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$, where θ is the one-element right S -act. Then B is a right S -act by the right S -action, $\theta.s = \theta$ and

$$b.s = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{if } bs = \theta_F \text{ or } \theta_M; \\ bs, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for every $s \in S$ and $b \in F' \sqcup M'$.

Suppose Q is a quasi-projective cover of $F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$ with an epimorphism

$\pi : Q \rightarrow F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$. Now define $q : F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup \theta$ by

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in F' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in M'. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Clearly q is a homomorphism. Now consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F' \sqcup \theta & \\ & \downarrow 1_{F' \sqcup \theta} & \\ Q & \xrightarrow{\pi} F' \sqcup M' \xrightarrow{q} & F' \sqcup \theta \end{array}$$

Since $F' \sqcup \theta \simeq F$ is projective, there exists $i : F' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow Q$ such that $q \circ \pi \circ i = 1_{F' \sqcup \theta}$. Thus i is a monomorphism and we can regard F as a subact of Q . Let $K = \{x \in Q : q(\pi(x)) = \theta_F\}$ and $K' = K - \{\theta_Q\}$. Clearly $K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta)$ is a subact of Q . We show that $\pi_1 = \pi|_{K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta)} : K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta) \rightarrow F' \sqcup M' \sqcup \theta$ is an epimorphism. For this we show that $\pi(i(x)) = x$, for every $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$. Suppose $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$. If $x = \theta$, then clearly $\pi(i(\theta)) = \theta$. Suppose $x \in F'$ and let $z = \pi(i(x))$. Then $q(z) = q(\pi(i(x))) = x$. Thus $q(z) = x \in F'$. By the definition of q , $q(z) = z$, i.e., $z = x$. Thus $\pi(i(x)) = x$ for every $x \in F' \sqcup \theta$. Thus π_1 is an epimorphism and since π is coessential, $Q = K' \sqcup i(F' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K' \sqcup F' \sqcup \theta$. Now let $\pi_2 = \pi|_{K' \sqcup \theta} : (K' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K \rightarrow (M' \sqcup \theta) \simeq M$. Since π is coessential π_2 is a coessential epimorphism. Since F is a projective S -act, there exists $\phi' : F \rightarrow K$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \phi' \swarrow & \downarrow Q \\ & K & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} M \end{array}$$

is commutative and $\pi_2 \circ \phi' = \phi$. Thus $\pi_2(\phi'(F)) = \phi(F) = M$ and, since π_2 is coessential, ϕ' is an epimorphism. Now define $q' : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow K' \sqcup \theta$ by

$$q'(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in K' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in F', \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and $q'' : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup \theta$ by

$$q''(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in F' \sqcup \theta; \\ \theta, & x \in K'. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Clearly q' and q'' are homomorphism. Now consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \\ \downarrow q' \\ K' \sqcup \theta \\ \downarrow 1_{K' \sqcup \theta} \end{array}$$

$$F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \xrightarrow{q''} (F' \sqcup \theta) \simeq F \xrightarrow{\phi'} (K' \sqcup \theta) \simeq K$$

Since $F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \simeq Q$ is quasi-projective, there exists

$h : F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta$ such that $\phi' \circ q'' \circ h = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta} \circ q'$. If $j : K' \sqcup \theta \rightarrow F' \sqcup K' \sqcup \theta$ is the canonical injection, then $q' \circ j = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta}$ and so $\phi' \circ q'' \circ h \circ j = 1_{K' \sqcup \theta}$. Thus $K \simeq K' \sqcup \theta$ is a retract of $F' \sqcup \theta \simeq F$ and so is projective. Hence K is the projective cover of M . \square

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referees for remarks which have helped in the revision of the first version of this paper.

References

- [1] J. Ahsan and K. Saifullah, *Completely quasi-projective monoids*, Semigroup Forum 38 (1989), 123-126.
- [2] J. Fountain, *Perfect semigroups*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 20(3) (1976), 87-93.
- [3] J. Isbell, *Perfect monoids*, Semigroup Forum 2 (1971), 95-118.
- [4] R. Khosravi, M. Ershad, and M. Sedaghatjoo, *Strongly flat and condition (P) covers of acts over monoids*, Comm. Algebra 38(12) (2010), 4520-4530.
- [5] M. Kilp, U. Knauer, and A. Mikhalev, "Monoids, Acts and Categories, With Application to Wreath Products and Graphs"; Berlin, New York, 2000.

- [6] U. Knauer and H. Oltmanns, *On Rees weakly projective right acts*, J. Math. Sci. 139(4) (2006), 6715-6722.
- [7] U. Knauer and H. Oltmanns, *Weak projectivities for S -acts*, Proceeding of the Conference on General Algebra and Discrete Math. (postsdam), Aachen (1999), 143-159.
- [8] M. Mahmoudi and J. Renshaw, *On covers of cyclic acts over monoids*, Semigroup Forum 77 (2008), 325-338.
- [9] J. Wei, *On a question of Kilp and Knauer*, Comm. Algebra 32(6) (2004), 2269-2272.

Mohammad Roueintan, *Department of Mathematics, College of science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran.*

Email: m.roeintan@yahoo.com

Majid Ershad, *Department of Mathematics, College of science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran.*

Email: ershad@shirazu.ac.ir